Exhibit 12

United States of America ex rel. Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc., et al. v. Dey, Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 05-11084-PBS

Exhibit to the August 28, 2009 Declaration of Sarah L. Reid In Support of Defendants' Common Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment

Washington, DC

		Page 631					
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS						
3	X						
4	IN RE: PHARMACEUTICAL : MDL NO	. 1456					
5	INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESALE : CIVIL	ACTION					
6	PRICE LITIGATION : 01-CV-	12257-PBS					
7	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO :						
8	U.S. ex rel. Ven-a-Care of : Judge	Patti B. Saris					
9	the Florida Keys, Inc. :						
10	v. :						
11	Abbott Laboratories, Inc., : Chief	Magistrate					
12	No. 06-CV-11337-PBS : Judge :	Marianne B.					
13	x Bowl	er					
14							
15	Videotaped deposition of MARK G. DUGGAN, Ph.D.						
16	Volume IV						
17	Washington, D.C.						
18	Tuesday, February 17, 2009						
19	9:11 a.m.						
20							
21							
22							

- 1 expect an exact correspondence between the two
- 2 because one -- in one, the date is the service
- date. And in the other, the date is the payment
- 4 date. And so even if both -- one wouldn't expect
- 5 an exact correspondence, but that's true that --
- 6 the fact that they are, they do correspond fairly
- 7 closely, quite closely is -- was something that
- 8 increases my confidence in both of them.
- 9 BY MR. TORBORG:
- 10 Q. Now, in table A, how about for the
- 11 states with --
- 12 A. Table 12-A.
- 13 Q. Yes. Sorry. How about for the states
- 14 with relatively low Medicaid spending. Is there a
- 15 close correspondence in that data?
- 16 A. There are more cases where there are
- 17 discrepancies, so most strikingly -- at least
- 18 going in descending order down the table -- would
- 19 be Mississippi, where -- in which in the -- at the
- 20 SDU data, looks like about \$940,000. And in the
- 21 MAX data, about 1.4 million. But if I recall
- 22 having drilled down on that issue, that reflected

Washington, DC

									Page 708
tho	fact	+ h = +	Miggigginni	TAT 2 C	miggina	CDII	data	in	

- 1 the fact that Mississippi was missing SDU data in
- 2 one of the three years.
- 3 BY MR. TORBORG:
- 4 Q. Yes. It says Mississippi, there is only
- 5 eight quarters there.
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Whereas you got 12 quarters in the, in
- 8 the MAX data which help explain why the MAX data
- 9 would be higher, right?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And now let's take a look at Colorado,
- 12 three down. You've got roughly 760,000 in the
- 13 SDUD data for 11 quarters, right?
- A. Uh-huh.
- 15 Q. But then you've got about 1.1 million
- 16 for 12 quarters in the MAX data, right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. There is a higher degree of variability
- 19 there, right?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. And if we look at Maryland, the SDUD
- 22 data we have the same number of quarters, correct?

Washington, DC

February 17, 2009

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. But the MAX data is 43.6 percent more,
- 3 right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Similarly for South Carolina, we have
- 6 the same number of quarters, but the MAX data has
- 7 39 percent higher expenditures, right?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. And if we look at Delaware, same number
- 10 of quarters, that's toward the bottom, same number
- of quarters, but Delaware's spending is 65 percent
- 12 higher in the MAX data, right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. So would it be fair to say that there is
- 15 less heterogeneity in the states with lower
- 16 Medicaid spending, right?
- 17 MR. LAVINE: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you
- 19 repeat that? I think you flipped it but -- there
- 20 is less -- so I don't agree with what you said.
- 21 Maybe you should --
- 22 BY MR. TORBORG:

February 17, 2009

- 1 Q. Okay. There is more variability --
- 2 there is not as close a correspondence between the
- 3 two data sets for the states with relatively low
- 4 Medicaid spending?
- 5 MR. LAVINE: Object to form.
- 6 THE WITNESS: So for -- on average, that
- 7 is true. But having drilled down on that issue,
- 8 in many of these cases, it was often driven by
- 9 incomplete SDU data. So even if it had some
- 10 utilization, so for example, we talked a bit about
- 11 Indiana where having drilled down on it, it has
- 12 the same number of quarters in the two data sets,
- 13 but there is still this disparity that exists.
- 14 And having drilled down on it, if I recall, the
- 15 SDU data was very low in that time period, like
- 16 for a couple of quarters was peculiarly low.
- So with the -- so it is typically, you
- 18 know, there are exceptions, like Tennessee, for
- 19 example, as you can see. But typically, it is the
- 20 incomplete SDU data.
- 21 BY MR. TORBORG:
- 22 Q. For -- take Colorado, for example. We

- 1 looked at that one earlier?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Are you aware of any facts that the SDUD
- 4 data is incomplete for that state, but for the one
- 5 quarter you're missing?
- 6 A. No. This would be a -- I don't recall.
- 7 It seems plausible, but I don't recall.
- 8 Q. Same answer for Maryland?
- 9 MR. LAVINE: Object to form.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Correct. I just don't
- 11 recall. And at some point, if we could take a
- 12 bathroom break, that would be great.
- 13 MR. TORBORG: We've got to change the
- 14 tape anyway, so why don't we take a break.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:26
- 16 a.m. This completes tape number one.
- 17 (Recess.)
- 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:41
- 19 a.m. This begins tape number two.
- 20 BY MR. TORBORG:
- 21 Q. Professor Duggan, if you could go to
- 22 your table 12-B. Keep your hand on page 28, too.

February 17, 2009

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. This is a table where you compare the
- 3 amounts paid in the SDUD and SMRF data, this time
- 4 for the period 1996 through 1998, correct?
- 5 A. Yes. That's right.
- 6 Q. And I take it you do that comparison for
- 7 the same comparison that you -- the same reason
- 8 that you did the '99 to 2001 comparison, right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And you again note on page 28 of your
- 11 report that there is a close correspondence in the
- data for those states with relatively high
- 13 Medicaid spending, right?
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. And then if you look at some of the
- 16 states toward the bottom of the chart, these would
- 17 be the states with relatively lower spending,
- 18 right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And you observed that the correspondence
- in the data is not as close for the states with
- 22 relatively low spending, right?

February 17, 2009

- 1 MR. LAVINE: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: On average, I would say
- 3 that's true. If we looked at, for example,
- 4 Montana on down the line, the correspondence there
- 5 is not as great as above.
- 6 BY MR. TORBORG:
- 7 Q. All right. If you look at -- go up
- 8 above to Mississippi, for example, there is a
- 9 state where you have 12 quarters of data between
- 10 both sets, right?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. Right in the middle.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Yet the SMRF data has 27.5 percent more
- 15 expenditures, right?
- 16 A. That's correct. And once again, it's
- 17 this -- my examination of this is typically that
- 18 it's driven by the incomplete SDU data.
- 19 Q. And do you -- what makes you think that
- 20 the SDUD data for, say, Mississippi is incomplete?
- 21 Have you done an analysis of that?
- MR. LAVINE: Object to form.